

MACURH No Frills 2006

February 3-5, 2006

Meeting Convened 7:43 pm

Mike: I call this meeting to order. We will start with announcements. Everything is color coded again. If you look at the agenda we are going to do awards tonight. Stay on task. With award bids remember pro/con is only info in the bid. Also, you received RBD evals. There are a few extra. Please have them done by tomorrow or Sunday at the latest. You are receiving conference and RCC bids. Also, remember that although you have lots of reading remember risk management. Go to bed at a decent time. We will have a long day tomorrow. Any Questions? There will be one slight change. NCC of the Year will be the only one we will not do today after RCC caucus due to a national policy.

Roll Call

Mike: We will pass minutes tomorrow. We will start with advisor of the year. If anybody needs a copy we have some. I'll now accept a motion to bring it to the floor

Mo State

2nd by Drake

Now accepting nominations

Mo State: UMR

2nd SLU

Drake: Drake

2nd Mo West

USD: KSU

2nd: UNL

Nominations closed

Pro Con

Kipp Van Dyke

Pro: NDSU: good relationships

Con: NWMSU: excessive quotes

Pro:

Con: Refers to Mankato incorrectly

Reminder from Mike- keep pro con one or two words

Pro:

Con:

Pro: KU: very involved

Con:

Pro: Wash U: good layout

Mike: you're on the wrong bid

Pro:

Con:

Lorrissa L (Drake)

Pro: NDSU: resource on campus policy

Con:

Pro: UMR: advisor of year at MACURH 05

Con:

Pro: Drake: Welcomes change

Con:

Marty Kofsky (UMR)

Pro: Mo State: advisorship of several orgs

Con:

Pro: Wash U: thematically enticing

Con:

Pro: Mizzou: breadth of work

Con:

Discussion

Mo State: Marty Kofsky has been a leader for several different organizations on campus, not just in a single residence hall. Works in NIC and its remarkable to work with that many students in so many branches of our organization.

UMR: Positive things about Lorrissa L. Does an amazing job helping out with programming, important part of an organization. Seems very open to change to stay with the times, echoing Drake. In addition, she seems to do a lot. Works with ACUHO.

Wash U: Wash U doesn't really have a preference. They are all very accomplished. They person we vote on will be presented to NACURH. At a lot of big conferences, they just look at the cover. Wash U would like to ask you to look at how the bid is presented, not just the content inside it.

NDSU: I thought we weren't supposed to just it by the layout, but by the content?

Wash U: I wasn't saying we should choose a bid that is written better at the expense of someone well qualified. They are both well-written bids. If both are at an equal level in terms of qualifications, the next thing to look at is how the bid is written and presented it.

Mike: just a reminder: the national exec committee is who votes on the bids. They will look at the quality and content

Rolla: Since no one is talking, I'll say some things about Marty Kofsky. Lorrissa's is amazing as well. I'd like to call attention to the breadth of what Marty Kofsky has done. He works with technology for the residence halls, hall governments, NIC, campus food committee. In addition, he advised fall fest, which has 30 sub programs. It's a lot of stuff.

Webster: Lorrissa L. I'm blown away by her immense amounts of programming. She is a great example of RHA at their school.

St Thomas: Marty- In addition to UMR's testimony, he's been doing the work of an RD for another complex. It speaks volumes that he gives so much in many areas and is willing to do more.

Drake: Lorrissa L: Not only has she been an amazing advisor to Drake, she's taken the time to expand and share her wisdom with other campuses, not only in Iowa or MACURH, but to the nation as well. Her willingness to recognize when its time to take a

back seat and when its time to get new staff ready for positions of leadership. She's been training new RHA advisor

Webster: Apologize for mispronouncing last name

Wash U: A good measure of a person is the opinions other people write of them, especially through OTMS and conference bids system. Draw attention to Lorrissa's OTM nominations on page 6. A person who inspires residents to write 5 OTMs in the space of 3 months is very worthy of advisor of the year.

NDSU: Lorrissa L: throughout the bid there are many comments about how she is very encouraging and hands on with people. She seems to be very well rounded with all the organizations she works for.

Mo State: Call the question

No Dissent

Mike: vote on your official OCM voting pads. Vote Kipp Van Dyke, Marty Kofsky, Lorrissa L, or abstain.

Mike: DSA is next

Accept a motion to bring DSA to floor

UNK: move to bring DSA to floor

2nd by Truman

Nominations

UMSL: Diana Royer

2nd UMR

CU: Curtis Jefferson

2nd CMSU

SLU: Aimee Rea

2nd Webster

Mankato: Matt Olsen

2nd UNL

Mizzou: Kara Meister

2nd Mayville

Aimee Rea

Pro: Mo State: conference experience

Con:

Pro: Mo State: several leadership positions

Con:

Pro: KSU: outside university involvement

Con:

Cara Meister

Pro: Iowa: multiple service awards

Con: Winona: difficult to read

Pro: KSU: programming

Con:

Pro: Mo State: conference team

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Curtis Jefferson

Pro: NDSU: dedication to NRHH and RHA

Con:

Pro: St Thomas: on campus awards

Con:

Pro: UMR: multiple levels of experience

Con:

Diana Royer

Pro: NWMSU: MACURH 05 selection committee

Con: USD: wrong bid title

Pro: Webster: national OTM committee

Con:

Pro: Winona: theme throughout

Con:

Pro: Mizzou: community service

Con:

Matthew Olson

Pro: NDSU: implementing policy

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro: Drake: proactive in campus policy

Con:

Discussion

NDSU: Curtis Jefferson: throughout bid, it shows his dedication to RHA and NRHH.

Throughout campus and his involvement through out school seems very dedicated

NWMSU: Diana Royer: Has been involved in res life for four years. Attended 9 conference worked on different committees. National OTM committee, MACURH selections committee. She has served on every level

Drake: Diana Royer: I'd like to say not only does she seem dedicated to bringing involvement back to her campus, she also shares her experience with others at regional and national conference. 3-time program presenter at NACURH U

St Thomas: Curtis Jefferson: He is amazing, as you can see in his bid. A simple list of his achievements requires a page and half of his bid. That just speaks volumes to him and that he has that many areas he has his hands in

Mo State: Cara Meister: She has been involved since the beginning of her collegiate career. Has worked her way up the housing ladder and now serves as an advisor. Was part of a MACURH conference team. Has put on programs and received Bronze and silver pins and 4 year pin

Mizzou: Aimee Rea: She is not afraid to take on awkward situations. Was RA for an all male floor.

Rolla: yield to co: Aimee Rea: She was the first RA at Rolla for an all male floor. Involved in largest government on campus. Also was a student ambassador, represented whole school. Has been to 6 conferences in 5 years. Has an impressive degree and GPA. Yield back to NCC: Curtis Jefferson: He was a vice chair for RHA, student teacher, event coordinator, bronze pin, 6 conferences, programming experience, OTM committee. In my opinion, he is a legend in MACURH. I can't see myself supporting anyone else, even with someone from my school being nominated.

UMSL: Diana Royer: Involved in RHA, NRHH committees, in charge of first fundraiser of the year, in Mo State Student Teachers association, asked to be historian, then elected state secretary. Having all that at the same time should be taken into consideration

Wash U: My vote can be easily swayed. Right now, Diana Royer. We all know people, who are accomplished on the campus level, but we also need regional and nation level. Diana Royer exemplifies this.

NWMSU: Diana not only helped with Jump for Heart, but also coordinated Hurricane relief fundraiser. Also went to NACURH and presented 3 NACURH U programs and submitted 9 other programs with her school. Before she was NCC, she was very active in floor committee and council. Had FYE bid written about her.

Mankato: call question

No Dissent

Mike: Here are your options: Aimee Rea, Cara Meister, Curtis Jefferson, Diana Royer, Matthew Olsen, abstain. Next is student of the year.

We went around the room and did introductions (name, school, AIM SN)

Mike: now accepting a motion to bring Student of the year to the floor

Motion by Mo State, 2nd by UMSL

Accepting nominations

UNL: Megan Wolf, 2nd by UMR

SLU: Megan Hughes, 2nd by Mo West

CMUS: Cassasndra, 2nd by Mo State

KSU: Aleigha Ford, 2nd by Mizzou

Wash U: Megan Sandquist, 2nd by

Pitt: Kelly, 2nd by Truma

Mizzou: Chris, 2nd by Iowa

NWMSU: Jennifer Cassidy, 2nd by NDSU

USD: Jennifer Beneke, 2nd by Truman

Aleigha Ford

Pro:

Con:UNL: no letters of rec

Pro:

Con:UMR: no page #'s

Pro:SDSU: actively submits OTMS

Con:NDSU: other campus involvement

Pro: KSU: programming

Con: UMR: format

Pro:

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro:

Cassandra Baxter:

Pro: Mo State: conference experience

Con:UMR: invalid information

Pro:

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro: Webster: extensive res life experience

Con:

Chris Crowell

Pro: Drake: NBD experience

Con: SDSU: no GPA

Pro:

Con:

Pro: UMR: RHA president equivalent

Con:

Pro: Webster: lead of NIC

Con:

Jennifer Beneke

Pro: UND: bid team chair

Con: SDSU: no given GPA

Pro:

Con: UMR: invalid information in bid

Pro:

Con:

Pro: UND: ARH president

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Jennifer Casady

Pro: NWMSU: regional OTM committee

Con: UMR: page #'s

Pro: UMR: GPA

Con: ST Thomas; wrong bid name

Pro: NWMSU: conference chair

Con:

Pro: NWMSU: assistant Hall director

Con:

Pro:
Con:

Kellie Borders

Pro:
Con:
Pro: Pitt St: active OTM writing
Con:
Pro:
Con:

Megan Hughes

Pro: Drake: OTM submissions
Con:
Pro: UMR: conference experience
Con:
Pro: SDSU: double major
Con:

Megan Sandquist

Pro: UNL: GPA
Con:
Pro: St Thomas: Mike Grosz Student of the Year winner
Con:
Pro: NDSU: volunteer experience
Con: Mo State: over on length
Pro: Webster: Diverse involvement outside res life
Con: Mankato: over on pages (already said)
Pro: Wash U: Quantity of information
Con:
Pro:
Con:
Pro:
Con:

Megan Wolf

Pro: SDSU: campus involvement
Con:
Pro: Mizzou: conference experience
Con:
Pro: Mo West: academics
Con:

Discussion

Mo State: While Chris Crowell is NIC director that makes him NBD and ineligible for bid.

Mike: We're checking the policy book.... That's true. So, bid for Chris Crowell's bid is inelligable, according to Part 1, sec A of National Policy Book

UMR: POI: how can a bid be prepared when NCCs are given false information? We were told it was allowed because he will not be director at NACURH.

Mike: I made a mistake, I'm sorry. National policy overrides me. I apologize for that misinterpretation/miscommunication of policy.

Mo State: It's been pointed out that theirs irreverent info in several bids. I think that's referring to resumes, which give background information. Cassandra has been a member of NRHH and RHA exec boards. Helped leader MACURH 05 delegation. Works hard, above and beyond.

Wash U: Megan Sanquist: We shouldn't ignore that same body elected her as Mike Grosz. Most of the schools already believe she is a fit candidate for regional level. The fact that she has too much info in her bid is better than not having enough.

Mike: for bids over page limit, start counting form the back

St Thomas: POI: does that include letters of rec

Mike: yes

NDSU: Megan Wolf: programming rep at last 3 conference, implements resources immediately after conference, dedicated to RHA student government, and all other organizations she works on. Shows passion, involved in student affairs. Has drive to enhance NDSU and MACURH

UMR: Jennifer Beneke: On regional/national involvement, talks about last no Frills, invalid. Aleigha ford: no letters of rec. Cassandra: Nice to include resume, but when most of it is irrelevant, it seems unfair because once NCC's read it, it can't be purged from mind. Its shows a blatant disregard for policy. However, she does have many accomplishment for the current year. Kellie Borders: She received 4-year pin, candidate for homecoming, shows grass roots support. Megan Sandquist: like her as a candidate, but just because she was voted student of the year at MACURH doesn't mean she is the student of the year now. Other bids have been submitted. There could be someone new or someone with other experience. The being over the page limit is not a positive in any shape or form. Everyone else was one page shorter because they had to be; they followed the rules. Megan Hughes: she has done an amazing amount in a year. All the conference, and help with professional staff. All the programming and a GPA of almost 3.8. I couldn't do that career wise.

Truman; Jennifer Cassidy: a lot of involvement, but also bringing it back to conference Chairman for Heartland Conference (for RA and SA's)

NWMSU: Jennifer Cassidy: hired for student director. Lot of responsibilities. Makes it impossible for her to attend conferences. Instead of doing that she has supported school and delegation by doing things like bid writing, regional OTM committee, presenting programs, also attended other RA conferences and helped Residents. Through her bid, she is still an exceptional leader even with wrong bid title

St Thomas: yield to IT: Megan Sanquist: not only is she and integral part of RHA, holds several leadership positions, not just in RHA. She has a 3.9 GPA and takes over average class load every semester. Deans list, people impressed by her attitude and enthusiasm.

Yield to NCC: apologize for formatting error. Yes, she was Student of the Year at MACURH, and yes criteria have changed, but I think this makes her a stronger candidate but this past year is when the majority of her information came from. She hosted most

successful program on campus. St Thomas has 5000 students, they hosted Price is right event and with limited resources and limited aid

Mike: can we get a page number?

St. Thomas: page 3. 400 students attended this event. 20% plus of on campus. It was an incredible success. It's hard to motivate that many students. She's seeking sponsorships to improve it for the spring semester. She's also worked on Brother/Sister program and others. She has an unflagging desire to improve upon herself, and by adjusting timeline, her bid is even stronger

Drake: Megan Hughes: No only is she president of NRHH (RHA exec) but also supports other exec chairs. Its does not say she is in programming board, but she still goes to their meetings and helps out. She's supporting other RHA sub organizations. She has extensive experience on NRHH. She is Drake's OTM queen, submitted over 30 OTMs this year. Highlight her participation in conferences, not just MACURH, also UMR-ACUHO.

Lacey Sneezes and mike said it has to go in the minutes Shows a great desire to bring back info from student conf professional conferences. Also involved in many other organizations on campus. Drake digital daily news, Bacchus, other awards featured on page 5.

Pitt State: Kellie Borders: pushes those around her, double major, maintains grade. Written most OTMs at Pitt State. Helped write 2 bids for MACURH conference, shows dedication to school and was chosen as a queen candidate. Shows willingness to support and represent organizations

Webster: Megan Hughes: amazing network experience. Megan Sanquist: good involvement. Cassandra Baxter: I don't feel it wrong to show outside involvement and how one makes time to be involved in other things. It's just stating how involved she is outside of res life

NDSU: Megan Wolf: Page 9, "She is truly an advocate and model example for student development and growth in co-curricular involvement" Good motivation for others. Page 6: Not only are award bids being written, conferences and delegations are spirited because of Megan. Helping bring RHA to next level, and its not her job. When someone is paid for doing something on campus

UMR: POI: can personal extrapolation based on info inside bid be allowed?

Mike: yes

NDSU: When someone is paid for doing something on campus, and its their duty, and they do things because its their job, and there are people who do things out of the good of their heart and they go above and beyond what is expected of them. I'd like everyone to think about that. If its their job, or if they are doing it for the students. It's about that and recognizing the student that go above and beyond what their call of duty is

SDSU: In general, I find it really odd that we talk about student of the year, and there's nothing anyone can say or read about being a student in bids. They have a major, but its not there. Besides that, Megan Wolf: its impressive she's been in the University for 3 semesters and has junior status. Academically, has a high GPA, being on Dean's list twice

Wash U: In regards to earlier comment about being paid or being volunteer, but its' really irreverent, because some things it's a consequence of the school's financial resources, not the person themselves. You should look at the job they're done rather than the status they hold. Megan Wolf: she's a pre=health student with a biology major. It's really hard for

pre-med students to be involved in organization because it's a lot of time. Megan Sandquist; 400 of 2500 students is 16%, not 20%. We exist not just to see each other, but is to help our RHAs be better. A person who can single handedly put on a program that affects a vast majority of the students is incredible. We like to look at other areas, but most of us exist because of programming.

NWMSU: Jennifer Cassidy: it does state she is a jr public relations major with high GPA and honorary organization. Is Heartland conference chair, which is a two-year commitment and has done a lot of the work herself. Is CAB advisor and makes sure they have direction, helps publicity and attends program. Also NRHH recognition co-committee chair to recognize students on campus.

Iowa: As a student at any university, student does not mean just academics. Extra curricular has to be a lot of your involvement and successes. Everything you learn is from experience and activities. You can't discredit extracurricular activities, which should count more than just academics

Pitt State: I do believe if they are paid or not is a valid thing, because some things that can affect their motivation for doing their job

Rolla: Move the question

2nd by St Thomas

Vote overwhelmingly for

Options: Aleigha Ford, Cassandra Baxter, Jennifer Beneke, Jennifer Casady, Kellie Borders, Megan Hughes, Megan Sanquist, Megan Wolf, or abstain.

Rolla: POI: Have all the previous bids been decided by a clear majority?

Mike; Yes

Rolla: Holy Crap!

UNK: move to bring Commitment to Diversity to Floor

2nd by Creighton

Mankato: **UMR**

2nd NWMSU

Pro: Wash U: diversity

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Pro: Mo State: 13 different diversity groups mentioned

Con: UMR: Black and white formatting errors

Pro: Drake: theme

Con:

Pro: Truman: I have no clue what you said!

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Discussion:

Mo West: Commend them for efforts on diversity

St Thomas; Call question
No Dissent
Vote: UMR or abstain

Mike: Back to Student of the Year. Please keep movement around the room to a minimum. Your choices are Megan Hughes, Megan Wolf, Megan Sanquist.

Discussion

NDSU: Wolf: she is programming chair of RHA, made goals for the organization and she followed through with every goal to bring organization to next level. Held program every month of the semester, attended last 3 conferences. Well rounded in every aspect of being a student leaders

St Thomas: Sanquist: As a student, balancing work, RHA, NRHH, several jobs, tutoring, and major and student alumni still have a 3.9 GPA, been on Dean's list every semester (is a senior) In other organizations, also in NRHH, through that she has worked hard to improve recognition and otm submission.

Mo State: Sanquist: Her involvement in difference organizations is outstanding, and brings back info from conferences. Goes out of her way to help NCC prepare for conference, which is admirable. In regards to getting paid for job, for a lot of people, its just a scholarship, and work reflected does not reflect what they made or didn't make.

Drake: Hughes: Programming section- highlights her ability for programming and recognition. Started Ross Hall apartment of the month program and dodge ball program. She's incorporating two most important aspects of MACURH/NACURH.

UMR: Sandquist: We are dealing with students, and I'd like to hope our #1 priority is academics. 3.9 GPA with 20 credits a semester, my head would explode. Economics is a real major, it requires work. However, on to Hughes, NRHH is a fairly useful organization on our campuses, and it's a lot of work. Take that higher because she is president. That's impressive

NDSU: Wolf: on exec board of 3 largest organizations on campus. NRHH member and is active. Has been on various committees, reflecting important issues on campus. To be a student of the year should be....

Wash U: Move the question
2nd by CMSU

Vote, motion carries

Choices are Megan Hughes, Megan Sanquist, Megan Wolf, or abstain.

Mo State: move to groove for 3.5 minutes....

Mike: after the votes are counted.

Rolla: Would like to amend previous motion to be 400 seconds (6: 40)

2nd by NWMSU

Motion passes

Rolla; would you like a cookie, mike?

Mike: if its from you

Mike is thrown a cookie.

Mike: Back to discussion; Megan Wolf and Megan Sanquist.

Discussion:

Mo State: call the question

UMR: POI: she was elaborating why she called the question

Any dissent:

SDSU: It so pains me to talk about this again, but the finance thing versus the non-finance thing. The implied statement isn't that people who get paid don't do great things for campus. -Analogy featuring IBM and Habitat for humanity.- they're both positive, but theirs a difference.

St Thomas: In rebuttal, policies may vary among schools, but payment should not be considered. Oftentimes it is in the form of a scholarship and is for holding an exec board positions. Whatever it may be

Mo State: POO- redundant info- we've gone through this

Mike: but she has the floor

St Thomas: whether you do 8 programs or do 8 programs spectacularly is the difference. St Thomas also put in a bid for Building block b/c this year RHA has grown by leaps and bounds. Megan has completely revamped programming schedule for the year and breathed life in to dead events. Goes above and beyond position requirements. Doe sit with a smile and a giggle, and yes that is in the bid. Does it while still maintaining every other aspect of her life. Board deemed her phenomenal before

NDSU: Clarify earlier statement- I wasn't talking about RA doing 8 programs versus non-RA doing 8 programs. Talking about if a student who gets paid for doing 8 programs but on top of them does an extraordinary job, but there are also students who are doing that w/o getting paid and are going above and beyond call of duty, when they never had the call of duty in the first place. Megan Wolf: on page 6 "does an extraordinary job of promoting involvement in RHA and on campus programming. " Commitment, dedication, enthusiasm and drive to make life better in the residence halls each day. Isn't that what we're hear for?

Rolla; move the question

2nd by mo west

Vote: motion carries

Vote: Megan wolf, Megan Sandquist, and abstain.

Mike: move to groove until 9:51

Gaveled back in at 9:53

Roll Call

Mike: Next is RHA Building Block, accepting motion to bring to floor

Motion by UMTC, 2nd by Grand View

Nominations: Rolla: St Thomas, 2nd by SDSU

Pro:

Con:KSU: no title

POI: Rolla, people speaking for no reason

POI: Wash U: to which Mike responds "Obviously, you don't."

Pro:

Con:

Pro: SDSU: positive and various programming

Con:

Pro:

Con:

Discussion

Mankato: high scale programming that reaches a lot of students on campus, like the price is right, mascot competition and in general marketing programs to everyone on campus.

Yay.

Pitt state: No sure what happened with formatting, but it is the schools responsibility to look at that.

Wash U: call previous question

2nd by St Thomas

Vote: 29-5, motion carries

Vote: St Thomas or abstain

Mike: Next is FYE. Accepting motion to bring it to the floor.

Motion by Truman, 2nd by Salina

Now accepting nominations

UNK: Melissa S

2nd UNL

UNI: Annie, 2nd by UMSL

Rolla: Danielle, 2nd by CMSU

Mizzou: Paul, 2nd by SLU

Truman: Kyle, 2nd by Grand View

Annie Cafer

Pro: UMR: environmentally aware

Con:

Pro: UNI: bid theme

Con:

Pro: Mo State: high GPA

Con:

Danielle Simpson

Pro: UMR: diversity experience

Con:

Pro: NDSU: double major and minor

Con:

Pro: Mo West: MACURH involvement

Con:

Kyle Warner

Pro: UND: campus involvement

Con: UMR: length of bid

Pro: Mizzou: GPA

Con: Mankato: letter of support
Pro: NDSU: started organization
Con:
Pro:
Con:
Pro:
Con:

Melissa McMinn

Pro: UNK: GPA
Con: Pitt St: no mention of award bid
Pro:
Con:
Pro: NDSU: recruitment chair
Con:
Pro: UNK: academic honors
Con:

Paul Garver

Pro: NDSU: Student OTM in august
Con:
Pro: Mo West: GPA
Con:
Pro: Mankato: letters of support
Con:

Discussion

UMR: McMinn: good bid, minor formatting error with page #'s, GPA and deans list good, but there appears to be less in it, but it might be because of the letters. Wanner: did make a lot out of something that didn't exist, which is big for someone new, however, only about 2 pages of information. Simpson: has done a lot. Diversity issues, MACURH presenter, pre-law, lots of clubs and 3.25 GPA and dual major. Cafter: likes the environment, has a 4.0 GPA, helps out on campus with things that are important, has a large list of accomplishments for being a freshman. Garver: "Whom I know a little more intimately". He has done a lot at Rolla. Serves on student government, when to MACURH, in RHA, works with Pre-Law society. Has a lot of experience at UMR for the amount of time he's been there.

St Thomas: Formatting issue for Garver- his head doesn't fit the body

NDSU: Simpson: She has great leadership skills, motivates others, sets example and enriches community, involved in all aspects of NDSU and has created change, including in diversity issues. Holds exec position in organization she helped renew. Takes things to the next level. Voted Miss NDSU representative and MACURH 05 delegate. After conference, still enthusiastic and has helped with logistics of getting program from MACURH presented at NDSU presented programs at MACURH

POI: Rolla: can I ask a question about a bid

Mike: now

POI: Rolla: are questions allowed as to content of the bid with respect to eligibility

Mike: okay, you have permission

Rolla: With NWMSU, it says she has 53 at the university, is that possible?

Mike: Policy book says is the first year at the institutions

POI: Rolla: Are we sure she's a freshman?

UNK: McMinn: actively sought to get involved with many activities. Taken on leadership roles in hall and in campus organizations, working to become RA next year. She worked to initiate floor social committee. Academic honors, which are good for a freshman. Student of the month for Oct. from her RA. Involved in community. Seeks to get involved while maintaining 3.75 GPA. Apologize for formatting error due to different computers

NWMSU: Cafer: Does say she is a freshman. Has an extreme passion for students in college. Works with students at risk and community. Committee chair for Northwest RHA. Has done a ton of activities, like adopt a highway. Also went to MACURH 05 as a delegate, and brought info back from program to create a program on recycling.

UND: Wanner: Exec board member for hall government. Founder and advocate for organizations on campus. Also involved with other organizations, and has kept GPA of 3.8125.

NDSU: Simpson: Page 4 "During conference she was dedicated to representing school." Had a lot of spirit. Quote Page 7- letter, dependable, looks out for what is best for students. Emphasis on bridging gaps.

NWMSU: Cafer: holds on campus job and work 15 hours a week. Also Lab assistant, chosen by professor. Can balance job, extracurricular and education

UNK: call the question

Dissent: none

Vote: Annie Cafer, Danielle Simpson, Kyle Wanner, Melissa McMinn, Paul Garver, or abstain

Mike: Back to discussion: Danielle Simpson and Annie Cafer

Discussion:

Mo West: Simpson: she was able to revitalize and organization on campus. Speaks highly of her ethics and character

Wash U: Cafer: she took a program called Eco-Footprint and made a new program out of it. YES!! Yes! That's why we do conferences like these. You go get ideas and make your school a better place. Here's an example of a first year person doing exactly why we're here in the first place.

Mo State: Cafer: Philanthropy Chair for MACURH, 4.0 major as a bio major

Drake: Simpson: MACURH 05 involvement- had such drive to present a program.

Usually first time delegates are timid at conferences, she exhibited great drive and ambition and willingness to be involved by presenting a program

Mo West: Call the question

Dissent: none

Vote: Danielle Simpson, Annie Cafer, or abstain

Mike: we reached a decision. That's all the awards bids we are going to do tonight. You guys did a good job. We got through 6 bids tonight. Announcements: You are getting 3 RCC bids. One for Iowa, one for Missouri, and one for Minnesota. That means, each sub-

region with a person running will have a caucus. They will listen to a presentation, do Q&A, and then make a recommendation to the body and the body will vote. The sub-regions w/o people running will stay in here. 2 of your will move downstairs and one across the hall. You need to read all the bids because you are voting for them. Before you leave you will pick 4 No Frills 2007 Conference bids. Inside there is a Q&A sheet- it's a compilation of all the common questions that are asked of conference teams in past history of No Frills. When you ask questions tomorrow, make sure to look at the Q&A sheet first. Use it! Also, we will start by finishing award bids and then moving into OCM presentation by Grant and Angela, and then first group of legislation.

POI: Rolla: How was the legislation separated into groups?

Mike: You guys decide. Motion based on color (of the legislation). After legislation, we will go into brief review and what RBD did at pre-No Frills retreat. We developed the values statement and worked hard over the weekend, so we want to show you what we did. Then we'll do conference bids followed by RCC caucuses, followed by NCC of the year, followed by the budget. Make sure you pick up conference bids and get all four.

Daryl: can we leave our stuff here?

Mike: This room will be locked overnight.

Kevin: I wouldn't leave laptops

Mike: Take your laptops with you, but you can leave everything else. Get some sleep!

Released at 10:39pm.

Reconvened at 8:09 am

Mike: The first award bid will be school of the year.

UNK/UMTC

Mankato: Pitt State

Mo State

Mizzou: UMR

Grandview

UNL: UND

Mayville

Mike: **Pro/con starting with Pitt state**

+K-state: involvement with other schools

-

+Wash U: Community Service

-

+Drake: specific goals

-

Mike: **UMR**

+Mizzou: Diversity work

-

+

-

+
-

Mike: **UND**

+NDSU: involvement on campus

-

+ Pitt: Bid layout

-

+St. Thomas: representation for 14 halls

-

Mike: Discussion.

POP: Is it required to have student pop. In bid?

Mike: Criteria are suggested

Wash U: I think Pitt state put forth a good bid in that their budget they do a lot of programming and their regional and national involvement. They get lots of bang for their buck. I recommend them.

Pitt State: In the first paragraph it says we have just under 1000 students on campus.

Mo State: I would like to draw your attention to UMR for school of the year. They have had several outstanding achievements including a strong RHA, NRHH, and NIC. They have done several leadership opportunities and have good programming. Any school that has that many strong people and things should be recognized.

Pitt State: I would like to speak on UMR. While I like what they have I think their theme was hard to read the bid. Also, on UND I like the pictures of the halls and hall councils and it was very appealing. On Pitt State they won small school at MACURH and saw their success at MACURH. They have done a lot in comparison to the large schools.

UMR: I am going to stick to the UMR bid. First we are talking about size of school. We are a large school but we have an on campus population of 1,400. We are down 200 residents. You go through our bid and see the variety and I am going to stick to specific examples of this current year. First the creation of student council liaison allowing us to work better with the student council. You can see the amazing amount of diversity programming, specifically the diversity committee, other side of the fence, AIDS week. We also did a lot of community service. We integrated the canned castle, which we got from MACURH. You can see our variety of OTM winners. At MACURH 2005 we were large school of the year. One of the largest things is that we have successfully hosted the NIC. While it may not seem like that big of a deal the most difficult thing is that by hosting that office we lost that many established leaders from our RHA. That is 6 leaders leaving to go to the NIC and we have continued on. Furthermore, campus wide we talk about our new buildings. We opened a residential college, which has integrated the deans on campus to teach programs and learning communities including women and global

leadership. These are amazing things to allow us. We are also building new mechanical engineering building and new technology classrooms.

POP: In school of the year bids is that only RHA achievements?

Mike: The criteria are all just suggested. They can talk about whatever they want. I can read those for you if you would like.

NDSU: I want to comment about UMR. I wanted to repeat about the fact that they house the NIC and still have a strong RHA and in their reslife. It is awesome that they have so many leaders. Also, they have the programming diversity. I think those are very important things in school of the year. UND has such a large involvement in their hall government and how their ARH is large for their involvement on campus. They have over 250 residents in hall governments, which is amazing.

SLU: Pitt State is just under 1000 people and they had over 100 people to their first meetings and 10% is awesome. They average 40-70 in a meeting. Also their RHA and NRHH are extremely strong.

UMR: I forgot one more thing. At the end of the bid we have a revised program called Coloring Outside the lines which is a training regiment that involves two weeks of training for all members of RA staff, hall governments, and voyager. All leaders are at UMR two weeks early for all the training ranging from 8-5 for two weeks. It is something for leadership development.

UMR: Call the Question.
Mo State 2nd

Passes by placard

Mike: Your choices for school of the year are UND, UMR, Pitt State or abstain. Ok. We did not reach a majority decision. Your choices are UMR and Pitt State or abstain. We are in discussion.

Wash U: A school of the year bid has to not only do awesome things but also carry out programs and things not done at other schools. I think it is obvious. I think Pitt State they have officers come in early to carry out training. The one thing that I saw in their bid was RA liaisons. I think a lot of times it becomes a competition between staff and RHA and they are making good initiatives to bridge that gap. I think it is a good program for school of the year.

Mankato: I support UMR because of their level of involvement on their campus. To look at school of they year you should have campus, regional and nation involvement. They are grassroots. Everyone wants to be involved even though their buildings are spread out. They are involved nationally, regionally. You should look at all three of those criteria. Look at the criteria when you judge.

Mo State: I would speak to UMR. One thing is their involvement on the regional level. They are at every conference. They write bids for almost everything to recognize. They have outstanding involvement and have people present programs. They hosted the pre-MACURH retreat for Mo NCCs and let us be there. They are always putting forth their best foot. They have a strong organization and do good work on all levels.

Wash U: Again, I point out those are all fabulous but we can say the same involvement of Pitt State. We have to look at the differences. Obviously, they couldn't host the Mo retreat. Their participation in homecoming was great. Traditionally, it is Greek and for them to stand toe-to-toe and represent residents is a good thing. Their new idea of electing committee chairs is a good step forward towards democracy. If you don't like democracy then I am disappointed. That is a good step towards representation.

Rolla: I don't know if I was just called a communist or not. Moving on.... We were talking about programming. We go through a lot of our programming. The beginning starts with 31 programs. We have an entire month with daily programs called Fall Fest. We teach people how to do laundry, diversity issues, crafts, alcohol education, and Hispanic cultural programs. That is just the first 31 days. We also do a lot for diversity. We have a ladies night out. UMR is 74% males and women and hence a diversity. Unfortunately, we help with that. We have powder puff football as a huge event at UMR. We also have the reindeer romp, which is an entire community activity completely for charity. I would point out a huge NRHH program. One thing the chapter does is the leadership trip. It is something we have submitted is an annual retreat for all UMR leaders. This is free for everyone. For a free weekend we help with these throughout the year. Ranging from diversity to mass programming to leadership development I think the programming is huge. We also discuss environmental concerns. We do conserve a lot of consumable resources. We think it is extremely important to educate people about world events. We have the readership program in the residence halls even though the campus doesn't.

Pitt State: In regards to Rolla's bid I am impressed with how many bids they write and I wonder how they do so many bids. I am wondering if we can consider it since it isn't in the bid. Regarding Pitt State their NRHH was platinum, which is a huge increase. We received 8 awards at MACURH. In Homecoming they provide opportunities through out the campus.

St. Thomas: In Pitt State I like how they show and met their goals. It speaks a lot for any organization. Especially this school. It shows where they haven't met goals and the action plans for how they plan to in the future.

NDSU: yield.

Rolla: Call the question
Mo State 2nd

Passes by placard

Mike: Your choices are UMR, Pitt State or Abstain

UMR: I move to groove for less than a second

Mo State 2nd

Mike: Ok. We're done.

Roll Call

Mike: Next is the OCM Presentation. Ok. Next is legislation

POI: How much time for this?

Mike: We have 4 hours. So, you can motion according to color. I will recommend we start with lavender, light purple.

UMR: move to bring forth lavender colored.

NDSU 2nd

Mike: Briefly. Andrew went to go and get the plaque because this is his last conference and this is sad. He has been involved in the region for over 15 years. He was RCC, and advisor. We want to induct him into the AAFN. He has declined a nomination every time he has been nominated. We got him a plaque and a letter from the national advisor to read about Kevin. Question?

Wash U: Could you explain the clause for \$156.17

Mike: \$100 is for AAFN the plaque was \$76.15, the letter was free

UMR: I point out that Kevin has given as much as a person can. He has gotten very little recognition for almost longer than I have been alive. The fact that he has never gotten into AAFN seems like a shame. We could fix this simply. The plaque is very pretty, it is really nice, and they already bought it. He serves us.

UMTC: I just wanted to say that I work with Kevin and I don't know how he can advise both with the time commitments. He is *always* there and he has a new office for the plaque.

Wash U: Move the question

KU 2nd

UMR: Move to accept by unanimous consent

Mike: Dissent? Seeing none. The legislation passes. I will now accept motions.

UNK: I move to bring gold legislation to the floor. (points system)

St. Thomas 2nd

Amanda: this is good.

U of I: Do you have time to do this?

Amanda: Yes.

KU: The points. Do you just get this?

Mo Western: It seems like redundancy in bids. A lot of the points are things we already give awards to. I just want clarification. Like the categories of program, school of the year...

Amanda: The school is getting recognized for writing the bids.

NDSU: I just wanted to point out that this is really important because all these people are amazing and I think the need to be recognized for that effort.

Pitt State: The goal is to recognize school but it is with regional and national?

Amanda: We are open to suggestions

Wash U: Could you define passive and active programming?

Amanda: Trisha will be in to do that later but there is a difference.

Wash U: I was wondering why program proposals at NACURH are worth more than at MACURH. Cause it may bias points in favor of some schools.

Amanda: They are being rewarded for the extra effort and intimidation of presenting at NACURH.

U of Iowa: Does this go to small and large school?

Amanda: Again, we could do that.

Pitt State: Is this system based loosely upon the NRHH system

Webster: How come you have voting reps under no Frills.

Amanda: It encourages more people to come to No Frills.

Mike: Questions? Seeing none we are on discussion.

Mo State: I would like to say this is a good idea because it encourages schools who haven't written bids to take into consideration because they get points and it isn't just recognizing and just an eight page paper. Your school will be recognized even if you loose. I think that is a good idea and it takes into consideration a wide variety of things. I think that it is a really good idea to have this. It makes people feel better and it would be fun.

UMR: I would like to make an amendment to double the points for top 10 and top 44 programs
Mankato 2nd

UMR: The reason is that presenting a program is great but if you are going to present you should recognize the fact that they did a top ten program.

Drake: Will you clarify that a little bit? Were you saying an additional 40 points or a total of 40 points?

Amanda: You would get 40 total for a top 10 or top 44.

UMR: My amendment you would get a total of 60

Wash U: Move the Question
Drake 2nd

Passes by placard

Amendment passes

Mike: Adjust your legislation.

SDSU: This seems like a difficult task to come up with a good system to actually recognize things because people are going to say things are interpreted differently. The intent is not to compare. Hats off for trying to do this.

Wash U: I am kind of worried about the fact that there is no need for representation of the work. For the amount of work that the NCC puts into being a member they deserve more than being told they have that. Even with a certificate that would be great. It would look good on bids.

Amanda: We can do that in the budget this afternoon

Pitt State: Under the MACURH conference add a section for spirit to be awarded ten and increase banner and display to 10 points.
Iowa 2nd

Pitt State: Basically this goes with the person submitting for winning it shows more dedication and it rewards your entire delegation. Spirit should be on here

UMR: Secondary amendment. I would like to change spirit award from 10 to 40 points.
St. Thomas 2nd

UMR: Most of these things you get 40 points. If you win the school spirit award you should get more than 10 points but to say you are one of the top two schools.

Amanda: What do you feel are the important aspects of MACURH?

UMR: I believe spirit is one of the most important aspects in inspiring delegates at conferences.

Iowa: Putting that at 40 make it equivalent to many other awards carried out over extensive time and do you believe that is true?

UMR: I agree with you if the points were given out for winning DSA. Submitting the bid is simply writing it.

Iowa: I under looked that. Thanks Alex.

Mankato: yield.

St. Thomas: yield.

Mike: discussion on 2nd amendment

KU: I agree that it would ideally to win more points for winning but if you win then you do win. I agree with Amanda that we shouldn't worry how much each is worth and look at what schools are doing. It is not so important because of work gone in. We could argue it all because it all takes a lot of time. It should all be worth 100 points. The point is just to encourage involvement.

Mankato: The spirit award is subjective to the conference staff. They take into account criteria already in the points system. What if a staff doesn't see a school cheering? It is based on what a staff sees and concrete things. We know if a school submits things but the spirit award should have points I don't think it should be above a lot of the concrete things.

St. Thomas: Speaking in dissent of amendment. First, I come from St. Thomas that previous to this year was not involved. It is difficult to get involved. We have jumped up and are fortunate to have some win at MACURH. It takes a lot to write a bid. It takes a lot to write one not knowing if you are going to win. I think increasing points for spirit and winning awards is not needed. Winning an award is winning in itself because the let

down after submitting a bid is large. That feeling is horrible and we need to do something to increase the recognition and the incentive to do submissions.

UMR: I agree that spirit is subjective. I also say though that spirit is one of the focuses of MACURH. I look at writing a bid as an important thing but I see winning school spirit at something important. We shouldn't use this much time discussing. I would rather see a vote. Additionally, talking about how hard it is to get involved. This isn't just for recognizing people for getting involved. Something through out the world is that you get recognized for doing better than before and you need to recognize excellence. You don't give the highest award to someone that has done better than what they've done in the past. You vote the best candidate. You want to give something to those that are actual awards. No offense to Mo Western for political references.

Mo West: In this whole point system I am afraid that it may loose the integrity of bid writing and doing frivolous bids just to write points. Based upon whatever they did and if the bids are good they would get platinum status. Also, a concern is the hierarchy of the region.

Wash U: Move previous question
KU 2nd

Passes by placard

Motion fails

Mizzou: Make 2nd amendment to make spirit award 25 points.
UMSL 2nd

Mizzou: Listening to discussion I feel spirit is important and agree it should be worth more than 10 points.

POP: According to Robert's is it allowed to specify the number of questions you can call at a time? Can I move all previous questions?

Mike: yield to UMR

UMR: You can move THE previous question to move all amendments and the original motion.

POP: You would have to move the motion to move both amendments

Mike: Questions? Discussion.

UMR: I move the current question.
Drake 2nd

Passes by placard

Amendment Fails 15-18-1

Mike: We are in discussion on the original amendment

Mo State: I think adding a spirit award is subjective. Everything else anyone can do. The spirit award, while winning should be recognized it shouldn't be above presenting a program it shouldn't be above registration. Not everyone can win it. Ten points is acceptable.

Wash U: Move the question.
St. Thomas 2nd

Passes by placard

Amendment passes by 28-4-2

Pitt State: In regards to someone talking about schools competing. This is more of a ranking school to compete against yourself. Basically, a ranking system on how well you are doing and how you can push yourself.

Wash U: Motion to amend to remove submitting programming grant and delegation grant
No Second.

UMR: I amend to add under NACURH the NACURH spirit award for 10 points.
Mizzou 2nd

UMR: We just passed MACURH spirit award as important I would think NACURH would also be important. I don't want to choose numbers because I pick random numbers and they are bad.

KU: Will somebody from MACURH always win that?

UMR: If we do win we would get points

Mike: Questions? None. We are in discussion.

UNK: I don't think we should add spirit to NACURH because it isn't guaranteed someone would win if it may not benefit.

Wash U: Move the question.

Mike: I don't accept that.

SDSU: If someone wins from our region that is a positive thing so there is no reason not to recognize that. Although it is subjective many of these things are subjective. These are subjective in choosing who hosts conferences. Subjective or not isn't fair qualifier.

Truman: If we are going to give the spirit award for MACURH we should give it for NCURH because they are truly committing if they win with that many schools.

Mizzou: I would yield but have one more thing to add. Despite that it isn't a certainty I think that we should have that in place because if they do it will be there.

Mankato: I support putting it into the NACURH conference because just because they *may not* win it doesn't mean it shouldn't be there. A MACURH school may not host NACURH but it's in there

Drake: yield on 4 counts of redundancy

UMR: Move the Question
Grand view 2nd

Passes by placard
Amendment passes

Mike: General discussion

NWMS: I amend to have 300 intervals instead of 200 intervals.
UMR 2nd

NWMS: I think this system is a good idea, however, if you look there are a lot of points that schools can win I think it is easy to get the total status. Just adding up NWMS we would already have gold status. I think other schools do a lot more so they should get more recognition. This doesn't recognize that do a lot more. The minimum requirements of points for people bidding for RBDness they can get up to 1400 with minimum requirements of bids in every category, a goodly amount of people presenting programs and presenting.

Mizzou: yield.

Mankato: yield

SDSU: If I understand you truly believe that 800 points isn't a worthy recognition. I thought the bottom one was ridiculous. If you write every bid you will be up that high. Do you believe that logical to think that everyone will write bids in every category?

NWMS: Based on the fact that schools can bid. If they don't bid for everything they are still around diamond status. If they don't do it I don't think 1200 is not outrageous. If you add up your school you already get high status.

St. Thomas: yield.

Wash U: For our school we have done multiple bids, participated in MACURH, No Frills and NRHH we only have 300-400 points. We are doing the best that we can so do you believe it is rational to expand the points to 300 when it will discourage some schools that are already doing their best.

NWMS: Rather, I think it would be encouraging for them to get involved in the region and for their administration to help them. This quantifies school involvement on the regional level. If a school won't push harder bronze isn't bad it just says they have room to improve.

Mike: Questions? None we move to discussion.

Mankato: We should not raise them. The point is to motivate schools this is an added bonus. Some schools, although considering themselves involved, realize that diamond status currently takes a lot of big things.

Mizzou: Yield

St. Thomas: I think that there should be a distinction between these levels because it should be motivating and challenging, I think this would be more motivating because this would put platinum status in a higher light.

Rolla: Secondary Amendment Bronze 200-399 Silver 400-699 Gold 700-1099 Diamond 1100+ Seconded by SLU

Discussion Rolla: The lower levels should be an obtainable goal for anyone in the room. However, as you move up the scale you should have to put more work into those levels. It would be easier to motivate yourself for the next level when you reach the level previous.

NWMSU: I support the amendment and think it is a good idea and fully support it.

Pitt: I think it would be beneficial whichever point system we decide that we should look more at the intention of revisiting it next year.

Wash U: Move to Previous Question Vote Chair not in doubt CARRIES

Vote on Amendment to Amendment: Vote: Chair not in doubt CARRIES

Going back into discussion on the amendment

KU: I move previous question.

UMSL 2nd

Passes by placard
Amendment passes

Mike: Discussion.

St. Thomas: I respond

UMR: I amend that it states that this be on the agenda for next No Frills to review this process.
SDSU 2nd

UMR: I would be the first to admit I make mistakes all the time. WE might end up with 20 chapters at diamond we might not want this. I would like to see this discussed after a year and we can revise. If it doesn't need revision then we can move on and not waste more time.

Mike: Questions? Move to discussion.

Mankato: Move question
Mo Western 2nd

Passes by Placard
Amendment Passes

Mike: General discussion

Wash U: aaaahhh. I understand this is good legislation to reward schools, which is good. I recommend someone move this question to get on. We are here to help out schools not to make up more pieces of paper so please lets get back to our jobs on things that are substantial and vote this through.

Mo Western: The comment about bid writing was just integrity and content. They can fit the requirements but the content is my only concern.

UNK: Call the question
UMTC 2nd

Passes by placard
Motion Passes 31-0-3

Mike: Accept a motion to move to groove

UMSL/Rolla: 800 seconds

Roll Call

Mike: We can now do more legislation than RCC caucuses. I will accept a motion for any of the remaining legislation.

Creighton: I move teal legislation
SLU 2nd

Amanda: I yield to let Mike talk about SALT

Mike: SALT is a national award that MACURH does not have. Therefore we are losing the opportunity to recognize them nationally. Questions?

Wash U: Salt is the student award for leadership training is to reward leadership training in the residence halls.

KU: When is this decided?

Mike: MACURH because the NBD votes on SALT at semis. Questions? None we move to discussion.

UMR: Having a regional bid is important you have the support of your region. It is another opportunity for recognition. We should have more.

Mo State: Like Veg this is important and states that programmers will decide this and they want to take it on to expand their board room. By allowing them to do this and awarding people in our region doing leadership training this helps programmers to contribute. This is a strong piece of legislation.

UNI: Friendly amendment, in the 6th section on the front capitalize T

Amanda: Accepted

UMR: Move question
Iowa State 2nd

Passed by Placard
MMAqua Passed (SALT)

Wash U: Who will vote on the award?

Mike: Programmers. We will do one more piece.

UNK: I bring pink to the floor.
Winona 2nd

Mike: Proponent by UMR

UMR: Okay, The purpose is to vote on the building RHA award and commitment to diversity at MACURH. The reason is because our No Frills will be busy and they may be getting busier later today and as such we should move them to MACURH.

Wash U: Having never experienced NACURH are these awards not at the national level?

UMR: If they win at MACURH they would go to the national level. We only vote on them once anyway.

NWMS: yield.

SDSU: Can this be attached contingent to later legislation.

UMR: We can table it to later.

UNK: yield.

Drake: Would it be beneficial to move to MACURH since it is over a NACURH timeline? Wouldn't it be better because they can include more material?

UMR: Consider, MACURH is in November Diversity and Building Block would happen at the beginning of the year. December not much happens, January is minimal. As such that is two months between them and so we are cutting out a minimal amount and since it is beneficial to save the time it won't make as much difference.

Mike: Questions? None we move to discussion.

UMR: This is useful regardless of white but since it is contingent then you may want to table it until we complete MMWhite

Mizzou: Table until MMWhite is completed
Iowa State 2nd

Passes by placard

Mike: I will bring MMWhite to the floor

UMR: I move to bring MMOrange to the floor
KU 2nd

UMR: The orange legislation handles appointments of RBD members. The reason this is a change is due to the change made at MACURH relating to No votes at conferences. This makes it so positions can be left open and this allows for vacancies made to be voted on by the NCCs. Because we voted to keep it open it should be at our discretion. It is outlined that they will be chosen by a plurality vote. The ideas were not all mine. Many

possibilities were discussed and having discussion at chats is important. I would rather have 40 people's opinions than five.

Amanda: In accordance with Robert's plurality

SDSU: Is there a concern about not having enough people in the chat?

UMR: I didn't know about that and I would suggestion the NCCs would be able to manage the chat.

Mike: Would it be possible for the chair to hold two chats?

UMR: Yes.

Mo State: Would it be possible to do this in a two week time period with discussion taking place, then vote within the next week.

UMR: We could add an additional therefore that all votes are due 1 week after the final chat minutes are sent out.

SDSU: Since it states a vote of all NCCs what if someone doesn't vote?

Mike: They are considered abstentions. Seeing no questions we move to discussion.

St. Thomas: Propose an amendment that in 3rd therefore "and notice of this chat containing bid discussion will be sent out with two weeks prior notice." Because sometimes people typically have difficulty with chat times but with such noticed they would be able to make proper arrangements to make it to this meetings.

Mankato 2nd

St. Thomas: To ease for those that do have conflicts this would allow people to make arrangements.

Mike: Questions? We move to discussion.

Mankato: Call the Question.

Winona 2nd

Passes by Placard

Amendment Passes

UMR: Amendment 4th Therefore add "within 1 week of chat minutes being sent out"

Amanda: Policy on mail ballot is read.

Drake: Referencing the policy book with these chats would be considered similar to a regional business meeting would we need quorum?

Mike: No. It is just a discussion, you are not voting there.

Pitt State: I point out that I know how long this process takes in the boardroom.

SDSU: Based on the clarification could someone mail the vote to the director?

Mike: That is what is currently being figured out.

Wash U: Move to Previous Question

Mike: Please hold your motion, while we clarify the policy.

UMR: Point of Information, if the policy book states specifically the type of voting that should be taken into account. Then does that exclude any types that are otherwise outlined in other sections of the policy?

Mike: In that case, yes.

Wash U: Moved to previous question, seconded by CMSU. Vote to vote 1 abstention

Vote On Bright Orange Legislation 30-4-0 PASSES

Mike: We are now going to go to RCC caucuses. We will break and give the candidates a few minutes then start the caucus process. During this break you will have about 5 minutes. Does everyone understand the process? Your caucus listens to the bid, pro/con, discussion and makes a recommendation. The body then votes on that recommendation.

Iowa Caucus

Drake: Could you repeat your first name? Also, do you have access to a vehicle?

Asale: I have a vehicle and am centrally located

U Iowa: Regional meetings?

Asale: I want to talk with all NCCs and see what we want to accomplish and then open it up to others to hold the retreat and begin the process of organizing and hosting.

U Iowa: monthly reports?

Asale: NCCs? I think monthly reports are effective because we can see the goals we have and the steps that are being taken in accomplishing them. They help see where we are.

U Iowa: Would you feel comfortable with recruiting?

Asale: Get a list of those not affiliated and a contact with them. Then, send an email and although it may not be the most effective I want to get my foot in the door and get people interested and share our organization with them and work to cater to them. I think more importantly is to stick with it. It also is important to get input from NCCs as well.

U Iowa: In what way will you try to have the subregion more involved?

Asale: With bids I want to emphasize the importance of recognition and lean on the NCCs to help them understand those that are doing outstanding things. I want you to help me to let others see the importance of recognition. It will be a sense of pride for people to see. I want you to work with me. For other positions, again, I would work with you to see if you know people in RHA or anywhere that are great leaders. You can be a look out for those people and tap them on the shoulder.

Drake: As an RCC you would mentor everyone in the subregion. What experiences in your past would make you suitable to make others feel more comfortable in the subregion?

Asale:

Drake: Move to extend by 3 minutes
UI 2nd

Asale: On my own campus I am in contact with our NRHH and programmer and have an understanding of their responsibilities. Expanding that to the subregion, something I am now considering doing is getting them in on the listservs and keeping them informed and letting them bring forth concerns and bring more unity. To allow them in on what we are doing with sharing questions, concerns, and issues. We can expand as need be.

U Iowa: What can you offer to the RBD?

Asale: I am a personable person and friendly which is important. I am very open and I share my ideas and take criticism. If you can't be open and share there won't be cohesiveness. I bring qualities in being open, friendly, sharing, listening, and working hard. I am a perfectionist and that helps me because when I get started on something I am going to do it. Dedication.

U Iowa: Two schools are bidding for No Frills. How will you ensure the smoothness of conference if they receive it?

Asale: I would talk to them and see what their needs are and where they are at. I would be a resource if they need help, questions answers. I will do my best to find answers. I will be a resource for anything that you would need in terms of helping.

Alisha: We now move into pro/con

+communication

-

+fresh ideas

-experience

+goals

-

+enthusiastic

-

+dedicated

-

Alisha: Discussion?

Drake: I support her and i think her enthusiasm got to me and I love that. While she lacks experience the experience of everyone in this room will get her transitioned. We can get her to be comfortable. I honestly think with the help from us Asale can be a successful RCC and I am excited someone is this interested

snaps *snaps* *snaps*

UNI: She understands that we have a good base and that we have a long way to go and that it won't be a simple task to step up our level. She is very strong in all she says. She impressed me.

Grand View: I support her and I think that being the ability to communicate. Having someone with her passion would help and she would bring us all together.

Drake: Move to recommend Asale Hubbard

Dubuque 2nd

Drake: I will motion in meetings.

St Thomas: call the question

2nd by UNK

Motion carries

Vote: Your choices are Nicole Timm, no confidence or abstain

Mike: Next I'll accept nominations for remaining caucuses

St Thomas: Daryl Lawrence for Minn RCC

2nd by Winona

St Thomas: Daryl is our recommendation. He's a fantastic leader within our region. Even without a title, he's been phenomenally involved in our region and is a wonderful person. All of Minnesota whole-heartedly recommends Daryl.

Wash U: I think he's really good, sometimes I doubt if he's fully human, but he's the best representative for Minnesota and MACURH.

Wash U: Move the question
2nd by Webster

Motion passes

Vote: Choices are Daryl Lawrence, no confidence or abstain

Mike: now accepting nominations for remaining caucuses

Drake: With great enthusiasm, Iowa nominates and recommends Asale Hubbard

2nd by Grand View

Drake: We were impressed by her communication and goals. We as a sub-region need to communicate more with each other. With her willingness to work and communicate with us, we can achieve that.

UNI: we were very impressed by what she had to say about building our sub-region. We'd like to reach out to other schools that may not be as involved now, and she sounds like she has an excellent plan for reaching out to them and involving NRHH and programmers more in what we do as a sub-region

UMR: call the question

2nd by Truman

Motion passes

Vote: Options are Asale Hubbard, no confidence, or abstain

1

Mike: it is 12:56, conference bids are scheduled to start at 1 where opening ceremonies was. We will break until 1pm. Be outside the auditorium at 1. Bring Robert's rule, bids, placards and OCM pads.

Out at 12:56pm

DRAKE Q/A

SDSU: In your schedule you have bid reading room open all night does that mean till 12:30 am

DRAKE: We would open it up to those that need to read bids as long as they need. We encourage people go to bed at an orderly hour but understand if you need to stay up.

Webster: Referring to c5 Variable expenses you have a hopeful scholarship can you highlight that?

Drake: The \$2000 was expected to raise in the coming year. In the event that we don't get that money our residence life will cover that.

St. Thomas: Your deadline for registration is Dec. 31, why?

Drake: Yes, one of our desires is to accommodate needs. Late registration goes through January 7th.

Creighton: Parking?

Drake: Parking is free in city lots after 5 pm on Friday. It will be \$2 until that point. Otherwise you can park across from Embassy for \$6/day

SDSU: What is an ultimate dining experience for lunch?

Drake: Hubble provides many variations of food for kosher, vegan, vegetarian, and many others. They have a great staff and provide many options. They are fast.

Wash U: Sometimes schools that may be interested may have difficulty finding information about registration/taxes/housing/transportation.... Since email has a good turn-around time how will you respond within 24 hours?

Drake: Thank you. We will have an office and a website to give information. Our chair will be around for chairs. We will give phone numbers and our emails.

Iowa: The office will be open? Will it be open over holiday break?

Drake: Over the winter we will be checking emails and website.

UMR: Diversity buttons?

Drake: As you can see we have red diversity buttons saying, "I am loved" it would bring awareness to have them.

UMR: Housing—is there going to be enough non-smoking rooms?

Drake: They will be all non-smoking rooms.

UMR: Historically No Frills is the cheapest conference that we attend is there any possibility of that cost going down?

Drake: I understand, during the next year we will raise at least \$2000 but we hope to raise more.

Iowa: In the presentation you said travel time was 15 min from hotel to conference. But with traffic will that be worse?

Drake: When we said 15 min. that is loading, travel, and everything. The time is actually only 6 minutes and we have two possible routes.

Mike: Seeing no more questions, Thank you Drake.

MANKATO Q/A

Creighton: How big of a problem do you anticipate with weather?

Mankato: WE don't have more than an inch right now. We aren't anticipating a big snowstorm but we don't think so. The worst weather is usually December

UMR: During your bid you said that there is free parking, but in the written bid you say there is not.

Mankato: There is free parking at the hotel and campus.

UNK: Looking at your QA page it says that you will have delegation gap set at 3+ two advisors. With NCC, NRHH, Programming rep that doesn't leave room for an NCC-IT. Can we allow for more room?

Mankato: We will allow for NCC-ITs and there is no restriction on the size of bid teams.

Wash U: I noted that you put an effort into mentioning people that are flying. Do you anticipate that a lot of delegations will need to fly in to attend your conference?

Mankato: We were expecting more to be driving but we provided the information.

Drake: I noticed and you stated that registration is due January 2nd and how that will affect working with the hotel.

Mankato: That is enough time for us to work with the hotel and she will accept that.

UMR: Your bid says you can accommodate standard, vegan, and vegetarian options. Can you accommodate kosher or other options?

Mankato: We will work with those.

Mo State: It says the delegation cap is three, will that be changed.

Mankato: What we figured was each school could be 5 people but you can divide them as you choose.

SDSU: With dining services change do you have a general plan?

Mankato: If served in our hall it would be buffet style and if we eat in the student union there would be more options.

Mizzou: In terms of memorabilia will you have both available?

Mankato: Whatever people overall decide they want. We can do both if that is what people want. If we get enough sponsorship we will try to accommodate that.

Iowa: Your bid says the hotel is 5 miles form campus. For convenience how long will that take with shuttle?

Mankato: To go across town it is no more than 10 minutes to travel. This is the best hotel and it doesn't take long and there isn't transportation.

NWMS: Some schools have problems with checks being cut. Can we bring checks to campus?

Mankato: We can accommodate that.

UMR: I assume you will treat schools with multiple NCC as an NCC and NCC-IT.

Mankato: We set the cap at 5 people per school. We can make it work.

UMSL: With conference staff your co-finance chair is a senior and her other co-chair is a freshman. Is Melissa graduating in May?

Mankato: She is graduating in May and she is my sister so we will still be in contact and I will be the only one in May. She showed interest in staying to volunteer because she will be student teaching.

St. Thomas: I commend you in your QA you said you'd have an advisor's program track. What are your plans for that?

Mankato: We will be working with the advisors at our school and the regional advisor. The programs will be next to the union. If there is an interest we will see what they would like to have provided.

Creighton: Have you given other thoughts to entertainment?

Mankato: We do not plan to have any entertainment because we don't want to put the money into it. There is a pool, spa, bowling, and fitness center. There will be entertainment options but we are not providing them.

Webster: Would you be available for contact over holiday break?

Mankato: Yes. I will be on campus all year and we will be checking emails over break.

UMR: Do you have numbers for smoking/non-smoking rooms?

Mankato: There will be no smoking rooms available, as the hotel doesn't have any.

SDSU: Your registration ends January 9th, when does the bid team registration end?

Mankato: The bid teams would be the same.

Wash U: Compliments for an excellent presentation. My only concern is the cost compared to previous No Frills. You currently have no endorsement. Would you look into that?

Mankato: Currently, it is at the highest possible price. It will only go down from here. We already have sponsorship from custom ink for shirts. Residential Life has also volunteered to sponsor us in any way we need.

UMR: Considering your philanthropy. With Salvation Army being historically religious how would that reflect in MACURH?

Mankato: I was not aware of that mission. We picked that organization because there is an office in Mankato and we want to collect winter clothing. We have been working with social services in Mankato and we weren't going for the idea of a religious thing. She said it is more community service than religiously focused.

SDSU: In your bid it says you are holding 40 rooms. Are there concerns the hotel would fill up?

Mankato: They will not be, the only real problem could be with hockey but the hockey rink is attached to the Holiday Inn. Also, we have been working with the hotel and she has been very helpful with us.

UMR: Move to extend time by 15 min.

UNK 2nd

Mankato: So the hotel lady said she can give us more rooms or less rooms. They have been helpful and supportive.

Iowa: You said anticipating possibly more financial support, do you have any idea?

Mankato: WE have no idea because we have not heard back from any of the companies that we have contacted. We have talked to many that have helped with other programs. The alumni association is also talking about donating money but we have no numbers.

UNI: Can you give us assurance that schools can bring any combination of the 5 delegation gap?

Mankato: No Problem!

Wash U: You are going to be sending out emails to communicate with people at your university to get volunteers. How will you accommodate these people into your RHA?

Mankato: We aren't just looking for CAs, they are anybody on campus. They have promised that they will be dedicated.

Wash U: The ones that come from RHA will this be their full time job, how will it affect your RHA?

Mankato: We will not require that this is their only obligation. We will expect 25-20 volunteers to help balance and be certain we have enough.

UNK: yield

NWMS: If there is bad weather how will you cover the cost for schools that can't attend or pay the registration fees? If schools can't make it to the second date because of scheduling problems and they can't pay the registration how will you cover that?

Mankato: We will do our best not to do that. We don't know for certain and we don't want to make any promises that we can't keep. If such a need arises we will try to make sure the other delegates will not have to pay more.

Mizzou: What preparations have you made for emergency situations? Like anything...

Mankato: University security knows to expect us. WE will be contacting the Mankato Police Department and let them know we may need additional assistance. The campus has 24-hour security and the hotel will have security.

UMR: With the fear of bad weather and the fact that schools are more than 600 miles away what happens if they get plane tickets that they can't change the date on? How will you help accommodate them? What do they do about their registration fees?

Mankato: The airport won't shut down because of the snow. A lot of times airports will help you refund the plane tickets. As far as registration goes we will try to do our best to accommodate that.

Mizzou: What I wanted to say was, is there someone on your staff that is CPR certified?

Mankato: Yes. The conference chair is. We always have an EMT on-call on campus.

Drake: Do we know when the last time a conference was cancelled due to weather?

Mike: That is an issue for discussion

Mankato: WE know how to handle the weather. We aren't anticipating weather problems. Minnesota we know how to drive on ice and we can handle it. There isn't even an inch right now.

SDSU: Potentially a stupid question, but you have talked to future on-campus staff are you going to contact people that aren't staff?

Mankato: Yes, we have talked about it and we will have off-campus people helping as well.

Iowa QA

Wash U: The projected costs that you have for possible 2007 are very low. To what do you attribute the "not bigness" of these costs?

Iowa: One major factor is that we don't need transportation with the locations. Also, the rooms are very cheap. The other facilities are free. We also got cheap food, which is a perfect price.

Webster: Would there be complications with delegates from different schools in the same schools leaving early?

Iowa: We will work that out with the hotel and registration.

Pitt State: Given your theme is we are required to wear suits to the boardroom.

Iowa: You will not be required to. You look great right now. We ask that you bring something formal for the banquet, however.

Creighton: What activities will you have for the advisors?

Iowa: The "advisor" track is not planned. Our advisor will help to coordinate that. We are willing to work with them to create something

UMR: One of the common questions is that since you can provide vegetarian and vegan can you provide kosher and other options as well?

Iowa: Yes.

SDSU: In your bid you have a delegation camp of four with as many advisors as needed...can I bring 10?

Iowa: We realize more than likely schools bring 1 or 2. But if you want ten, you may.

St. Thomas: yield.

NWMS: Can you bring checks in at registration?

Iowa: We are willing to be flexible with registration and we will work with you.

Drake: Since your hotel is connected to your union will there be limitations on food being brought in from the outside?

Iowa: There are not limitations on food brought into the hotel. In the union there are also places to get snacks. You can bring anything in. There is a rule in the union that we can't bring in outside food but because the hotel is its own entity you can bring in anything. Our hospitality is in the hotel to stop that problem.

Mo State: Will somebody be available over winter break. I will be available for any communication needed over that time.

UMR: I was wondering if the hotel is non-smoking, if not how many non-smoking rooms will you have?

Iowa: Because the hotel is part of the university there are no smoking rooms. If there are smoking rooms we will not be using them.

Drake: If a school chooses to leave early and they are roomed with delegates that are staying an extra day who would cover the extra costs of that room?

Iowa: Because we are doing registration ahead of time that before you register you will give your intention of which nights you are staying to minimize this complication as much as possible.

SDSU: I commend you on guaranteeing 24-hour turn around. But is that possible? Weekend, thanksgiving, Christmas? Will that still be possible, or maybe 48-hours?

Iowa: Possibly emergencies may arise and in most cases, and almost every case, 24-hours is reasonable. With snail-mail obviously there will not be over night. If we can't get to you we will let you know.

Mike: Seeing no questions, thank you University of Iowa.

Webster Q/A

Wash U: Was there anything else you wanted to say before time ran out?

Webster: We have been growing over the last 10 years. With our two new halls and renovations now is the perfect time to host. We have the facilities and will to make it work.

Drake: In your written bid you prefer delegations use their own transportation. How will you ensure they do not get lost?

Webster: We chose the hotel for several reasons. One of them was that we are right near campus. It is nearly impossible to get lost. We will provide contact numbers, maps, and a few vans to shuttle.

UMR: One of the questions states that you can accommodate vegetarian and vegan meals. Can you accommodate kosher and other meal options?

Webster: We are in the process of changing dining providers. We have let the current vendors know. We will like to promise that but at this point we cannot guarantee that but we will do what we can.

Iowa: In your bid you said that the additional sponsorships the price could go down.

Webster: In our university our development department is working with this to make sure we aren't messing anything up. They have been really helpful and I don't have any exact numbers.

Webster NCC: I wanted to give you time to cover anything that was in the video

Webster: The new buildings will help to make this possible for us. We will be able to now.

UMR: Do you know if you will have enough non-smoking rooms in the hotel?

Webster: It is one of the larger hotels in the region. I do not know about non-smoking rooms dependent on attendance but we should be fine.

Wash U: How do you anticipate arranging for parking?

Webster: We are in good communication with hotel and there is plenty of parking. Working with our public safety we will reserve parking on campus and is free.

Iowa: Traditionally philanthropy is a big deal, are you having any?

Webster: Faith house is our current project, which impacts many different people. We will have monetary donations brought and given as a lump some.

Mo State: What security measures have been taken?

Webster: We have talked with our public safety and they are aware of the conference. We will also contact the city police. Our officers have a 24-hour dispatch desk and will be on patrol.

UMR: It was mentioned that you would like people to use their own transportation. What are you going to do with a school that does not drive?

Webster: For transportation our residential life is sponsoring vans at no cost to the delegations and vans to bring them to the airport and hotel to campus.

Iowa: You mentioned the new halls several times. I am confused as to why we are using these?

Webster: It gives us an extra meeting place. Because we have a small campus we didn't have meeting space. This allows us enough room to have the three meeting rooms.

Wash U: yield.

Reconvened at 3:45

Roll Call

Mike: anyone on the bid team needs to leave now and the doors need to be shut. We'll start with Pro/Con on Drake

Drake

Pro: Webster: great recommendation letters

Con: Iowa: registration due over holiday

Pro: Drake: location

Con: CU: location

Pro: Mizzou: organization

Con: UNK: price

Pro: Grand view: activities

Con: UNI: Saturday activities

Pro: Wash U: age of chairs

Con: SDSU: lack of conference policy knowledge

Pro:

Con: Webster: didn't follow standard budget layout

Pro: Mo West: From here to there chart

Con: CU: \$6 a day for parking

Pro: Wash U: emergency preparations

Con:

Pro: Rolla: amazing philanthropy

Con:

Pro: UNK: forms in packet

Con:

Mankato:

Pro: Wash U: snow globes
Con: Rolla: price
Pro: Winona: tentative snow date
Con: Mo State: policy knowledge
Pro: St Thomas: philanthropy theme
Con: Location
Pro: SDSU: conference staff
Con: Rolla: small delegation
Pro: UNK: forms in packet
Con: Webster: shuttle price
Pro: CU: programming for advisors
Con: Iowa: lack of entertainment
Pro: Wash U: lack of entertainment
Con: Drake: undetermined dining location
Pro: Webster: legible budget
Con: Truman: Distance
Pro: SDSU: willingness to change
Con: Rolla: lack of sponsorship
Pro: UNK: distances listed
Con: KSU: unnecessary memorabilia
Pro:
Con:
Pro:
Con:
Pro:

Iowa

Pro: NDSU: confidence
Con: CU: no planned advisor events
Pro: UMTC: hotel connected to union
Con: drake: open ended answers
Pro: UMR: price
Con:
Pro: Winona: location
Con: Webster: wrong date of MACURH conf on page 7
Pro: St Thomas: link to campus activities
Con: Truman: limits distances given
Pro: CU: free parking
Con:
Pro: KU: 1 or 2 night option
Con: Wash U: 1 or 2 night option
Pro: UNI all day hospitality
Con:
Pro: UMR: integrated theme

Con:
Pro: KSU: registration ease
Con: Webster: budget format
Pro: UNK: hotel for no frills people only
Con:
Pro: Mo State: flexibility
Con:
Pro: KU: emphasis on volunteers
Con: Pitt State: mislabeled Pittsburgh
Pro: UMR: over \$6000 in sponsorships
Con: St Thomas: no confirmed volunteers
Pro: SDSU: delegation cap
Con:
Pro: NDSU: organization
Con:
Pro: UNK: later registration dates
Con:

Webster

Pro: UMR: price
Con: UMR: dietary needs restrictions
Pro: Wash U: RHA experience in chairs
Con: CU: own transportation
Pro: UMR: free entertainment
Con: Winona: size of campus
Pro: SDSU: delegation cap
Con: Iowa: Q&A doesn't use bold and italics
Pro: Truman: flexible dates
Con: drake: early registration deadline
Pro: SDSU: enthusiasm
Con: UNK: programmer meeting location
Pro: NDSU: desire
Con: UMR: conference location
Pro: Wash U: conference location
Con: UNK: separation of delegation
Pro: UNI: airport transportation
Con:
Pro:
Con:
Pro:
Con:

Discussion

NDSU: Iowa- confidence in presentation and knowledge of what is going on, when its happening. Very convenient hotel and location

Mo State: Iowa- willing to be flexible with advisors and give them a say of what they want to do. Awesome that hotel and union are together, and all day hospitality room. Amazing cost, and they expect it to go down

Webster: P of clarifications, I thought it was supposed to be different caps than what they have in some budgets. Is that okay?

Amanda: The gray column is for the break-even point, so the #s should be the same across schools, but it doesn't have to be.

Mizzou: Iowa- the slide that really struck me was the map that showed their central location

Wash U: Location note. Transportation costs are a concern for many schools, but it's not fair to choose a location based on where they are. We've all had to make long trips before. We are a region together, so I hope you can be flexible and make the longer trip when you have to.

Drake: Iowa- In their Q&A they were vague in their answers. Drake-They are centralized in the region. For registration, they said online registration would be available until December 31. Online access can be attained through more than just your campus. That late of a deadline is in their favor, it's early enough that they can work with their hotel to meet the needs of delegates. Conference policy- These bids are not set in stone, so bid reading room times can be changed.

ADFR: in looking at drake budget, I gave schools permission to break things down and add extra budget lines. Drake added an extra \$10 fee that is only required at MACURH conference, but the ADA add on fee stays. Conference cost would go down \$10.

NDSU: Weather- I've been told that its not the fault of the school if the weather is bad. We shouldn't judge schools by their location and weather. It should be about the location and bid. I think the bid team handled it well considering the situation and all the questions about weather

SDSU: P of information: What is the system for weather?

Mike: if there is a deficit because of weather, the first \$500 is covered by conference. Everything above that is split equally by host school and region

Kevin: The deal with weather is all schools would pay full registration costs. If the school can't attend, they can be refunded the variable expenses. Fixed costs cannot be refunded. If there is conference excess, then funds can be refunded. What mike is talking about is if there is a conference deficit.

UNK: Kudos to Mankato. They were asked 2 different questions 3 times each and they handled themselves well. We have a wide variety of prices. I would not want to go to a no frills that costs \$100 when we do less than at a MACURH that costs \$100

Mizzou: yield to redundancy

UMR: Drake- majority of bid seems good. Not a lot stood out as spectacular, but it is solid. The price being \$75 now is more reasonable. Diversity button is an interesting idea and could be innovative. One thing I noticed was the lack of free Internet. Mankato- the small delegation size is not a plus. UMR wouldn't be able to bring everyone because we have co-ncc's, ncc-it, co nrhh reps. I think the weather got brought up because of the theme. Apparently it's not that big of a deal in Minnesota these days. The biggest con is the price. I can't foresee sending people if it costs that much. Webster: Good bid. Great delegation size. They have good facilities and get to show off new buildings. Good price. My only concern is the issue with dietary restrictions. They have vegetarians covered, but

they don't have Kosher or Ha'lal offerings confirmed, and I'd really hate for someone to not have food. Iowa-That bid presentation was entertaining. Theme is well integrated. Price is low. They've raised \$6000+ raised already and are expecting more. That is extremely impressive. They have a lot of free entertainment. It's no frills, but if you want to give me a frill for nothing, I'll take as many as you want to give me. There's no transportation. None. That's amazing. If I find a way to get to NO Frills, I'll wear a tux. Wash U: Enthusiasm of presenters from Drake was great. They're young and it shows really great things to expect from drake. Really creative philanthropy. Webster- Experience of staff in RHA and MACURH shows they have experience and faculties necessary to foresee any difficulties. Transportation issue, some people may find it difficult to take their vehicle back and forth, but you won't lose your vehicle along the way, unless you're Rolla. On the issue of food, most of the caterers in the STL area can easily make arrangements. I believe vegetarian foods meet Kosher and Ha'lal specifications

P of clarification from Rolla: no they don't

Mo State: yield to redundancy

SDSU: bid price is important. Bid price can fluctuate pretty huge. This was originally over \$100 and is now \$60. That makes all of them extremely viable options.

Iowa: You shouldn't chose solely on convenience of transportation. But when transportation is taken out, you have more time for meetings like this. This morning the bus was late and we got started late. Its different than walking 30 sec down a hallway.

Drake: Highlight support Drake bid has gotten from Res Life and other student leaders and administration and through Des Moines community. They asked lot of people to write letters. Show they and the community support conference. Right now confirmed sponsorship for Drake is \$7000 from Drake organizations. Iowa has less than a 1/3 of their confirmed sponsorship from on campus.

P of Clarification: SDSU- is that included the \$2000 that hasn't been guaranteed

Drake: it has been by res life if they don't get it elsewhere

UNI: Webster- I read their bid and was waiting for the presentation to put it over the top. The relative inexperience of Webster hosting a conference isn't a con. The RBD will work with them and help them along the way. Without the opportunity to host and organize conferences, small schools throughout region wouldn't get the chance to be more confident about doing things in the future.

Webster: WE are in the process of bidding for a new food service host. It's all going to play off the new service provider or whom we have

Mankato: We are also under the same thing of getting a new food contract

St Thomas: There's a lot in common with the bids. Iowa: Long registration time. Starts week after MACURH and goes to Jan 12. Gives people flexibility. We'd be the only people at the hotel.

Webster: They have great pricing. RHA treasurer, former NCC, NRHH president: they know all aspects of these conferences and facilitating the meetings. They have an advisor track, which is also an advantage for Mankato. It gives the advisors something they can get out of the conference, too.

UMR: most respectfully moves the question, 2nd by USD

Vote: 32-1-1

Vote: Drake, Mankato, Iowa, Webster, Abstain

Mike: we don't have to revote, so we have ample time to do other things

Mo State: move to groove for 90 seconds

2nd by Grand view

Back at 4:34

Roll Call

Mike: We're good.

Wash U: Please do not hand anymore pen caps to Veggie.

Mo State: Move to bring Snow-white legislation to table

2nd by SDSU

Proponent Speech

Veggie: First, I did not actually write the legislation. I only added one part, so don't give me any credit, but I do support it with my full support. The purpose is to take everyone from MACURH and elect them at no frills instead. People would be elected at No Frills and transition until NACURH. The disadvantages: You put everything at NO Frills. There are two things that need to be noted. There are two therefores in the legislation (4th and 5th). These are extremely critical and will probably be discussed a lot. The first allows all RBD members that are currently elected or appointed to sit until NACURH 2007. Second states that all contested positions within MACURH-MACURH RBD will have special elections for their position at MACURH.

Q&A

CU: Is the RBD willing to sit until 2007?

UMR: Yield to Board

Mike: We talked about this at the retreat. Everyone this would affect is okay with that.

Wash U: This may affect the pink legislation. How would it affect it?

UMR: No Frills would be more crowded. If we take 2 bills away from No Frills, there would be more time. The pink bill was created because of this legislation.

SDSU: Is the current or new board at NACURH?

UMR: The current board would go along with ADFR and Director elect. Reason being, they go to NACURH b/c they are on RBD and the elects need to get sworn in at NACURH.

Mike: Also, the director and ADFR elect will be on the NBD, and at NACURH they get NBD training

Truman: You said you will get rid of the graduation clause. What about December grads?

UMR: I didn't write that... yield to mike

Mike: Essentially, we're in violation of national policy. National gives a 3-month waiver. We can be stricter than national policy, but we're not supposed to be more lenient.

Wash: Point of inquiry. What would happen if they found out?

Mike: They are aware.

Wash U: What have they done?

Mike: Essentially nothing.

Wash U: Why does it matter?

SDSU: So this does provide to change the 6-month thing?

UMR: Yes, this gets rid of it. Reducing to a 3 month wouldn't help, so we removed it all together. If you graduate, you're done.

Point of information: The way we have it now, if a person graduates in May, can they continue until that NACURH.

Mike: no

Discussion:

Clarification- 3-month rule nationally is only in regards to ADFR and Director positions.

UMR: friendly amendment Article 5, section 1, instead of remove, change to alter and change word from six to three and number from 6 to 3

2nd by CMSU

Mo State: I strongly support this. My name is on it. I think this makes for a more effective RBD. People will be trained for 3 months by their predecessors instead of 1.5 hours. There will be communication back and forth. Some things just come from experience, but transition helps. No Frills will be busier, but 5 of the positions are RCCs, where we break into small groups. So really, we're just moving the time we spend on AD positions to No frills and if the pink legislation passes, we'd save more time at no frills for that. National may not have done anything yet, but they may in the future tell us we have to change.

Point of Clarification: James Tobin: Historically, the summer months have not been included as part of your term because school is not in session. Therefore, if you say six months, you are including the summer months and it goes to November. If you say 3 months, you are not including summer as part of your term. So, either way you go, you'll be fine.

Point of Information: Does this mean it doesn't matter? (UMR)

Tobin: Either way you leave it... its fine.

Mankato: Point of Clarification on last therefore about change to regional charter about MACURH paying for certain RBD positions and not others. The AD programming is required to go, aren't they? Is ADCD?

Mollet: When things changed last year, in the policy book, we didn't get everything changed that needed to be changed which led to that.

Mankato: Friendly amendment adding AD-Programming to 2nd to last therefore

Point of information: Is AD Tech required to go?

Mollet: According to current policy book the way it should be.

Mankato: Friendly amendment adding AD Programming and AD Technology to 2nd to last therefore.

2nd by St Thomas.

Rolla: Yield

Mizzou: Yield

Wash U: Respectfully move the question

Mike: I do not accept that motion

Wash U: appeal the decision of the chair

2nd by Rolla

Mike: The reason I declined it is because we didn't strike one of the therefores making it unclear is the current board stays or has elections

Wash U: remove motion

NWMSU: move to strike 4th therefore

Point of Clarification: do you know that won't solve the issue?

NWMSU: um, maybe?

Point of clarification: that doesn't solve it. You'd need to add a clause to allow it to go to the next therefore statement or strike the 5th therefore statement

Mike: there was no 2nd so the motion is dead.

Rolla: Motion to add to end of 4th therefore statement, "unless their position is contested" 2nd by Mo West

Rolla: This would make it so contested elections could be held at MACURH. This would not affect RCCs because there is not language for contested RCC elections at MACURH. We need to decide whether or not we need to have contested elections at MACURH. The elections would be done for a multitude of reasons. People thought it was unfair to extend terms for so long. At MACURH, people didn't realize they would be electing people for so long. Election procedures follow different rules than legislation terms. Negatives: They may be more transition for the terms. I would hope the current RBD would be willing to work with people for as long as needed. Additionally, there would be people with a short term. This is one of two ways to get us to NACURH-NACURH.

Q&A

Mo State: Move to close questions

2nd by SDSU

Motion passes

Discussion

UNI: Friendly amendment to 4th therefore statement to change to "for each sitting RBD member and appointment following the No Frills 2006 conference will remain in his/her position until NACURH 2007 unless his/her position is contested.

Accepted by Veggie.

Drake: I am opposed to this amendment because it would provide poor transition. One of the points of this legislation was to give the new RBD ample transitions time. They would be in office for 6 months. By the time they get into the groove, they'd be up for election again. Being on your own in a position is drastically different than being transitioned into a position.

Mo State: I understand Drake's feelings, but I support this amendment because I think it stratifies qualms with extending peoples term for almost half another term. I'm also for it because while I would also never want to go into a situation like that, I don't have the right to make everyone else's opinions mine.

Wash U: Move previous question

2nd by Mo State

Vote: 26-7-1

Vote on the amendment

Vote: 26-8-0, amendment carries

Rolla: Friendly amendment to 6th therefore statement to state, "The first general elections under this policy will be held at No Frills 2007"

Point of information: UMR: does that solve the problem Kevin found?

UMR: reword friendly amendment to state "other than special elections, the first elections until this policy"

2nd Mo State

Mo State: yield

Wash U: move the question

2nd by Rolla

Point of clarification: UNK: what are we voting on?

Mike: voting to vote on whole thing

Vote: 26-8-0

Motion passes

Vote on Snow White Legislation

Vote: 26-8-0, Legislation passes

Mike: What you've done: Part of this was a charter change. Now it will go to the NBD at

NACURH and they'll vote on the charter change.

Mizzou: Move to take Pink legislation from the table

Point of clarification: UMR- it automatically happens.

Wash U: Move the question

2nd by Rolla

Vote: 27-6-0

Vote on Pink legislation: 29-5-0, legislation passes

UNK: Motion to move to groove for 5 minutes

2nd by KU

5:21pm

Back at 5:30

Roll Call

Pass out NCC OTY

Mike: We'll announce RCC because it affects NCC OTY bids. Nicki Timm from Missouri, Daryl Lawrence from Minnesota, and Asale Hubbard from Iowa. So, Nicki and Daryl are both not eligible for NCC OTY. The two bids to look at are Alex Dempsey and Stephanie Caron.

Truman: move to bring NCC OTY to the floor, 2nd by UNK

UNL: Nominated Alex Dempsey, UMR

2nd by Grand View

NDSU: Stephanie Caron, NDSU

2nd by Mizzou

Alex Dempsey

Pro: KSU: GPA

Con:

Pro: Grand View: Leadership

Con:

Pro: Mo State: Parli Pro knowledge

Con:

Stephanie Caron

Pro: KSU: Encourages programming

Con:

Pro: NDSU: school submitted bids this year

Con:

Pro: Webster; volunteer experience

Con:

Discussion:

Mo State: Alex, maintained 4.0 GPA while NCC. Has real good knowledge of Roberts' Rules. He is very involved in programs at UMR. As NCC increased awareness of MACURH at UMR and has gotten a bunch of awards for service.

Wash U: Alex: He's probably an ideal NCC according to qualities in the bid. He's submitted enough legislation to write an entire policy book. The process of doing that shows one of the good qualities of being an NCC

Grand View: yield

Rolla: Alex: lots of leadership development. Not only a leader in res halls, but on campus in general, and in state. Has attended national leadership conferences as well. He's given so much to MACURH; this would be a great way to show our gratitude to every he's done for us.

NDSU: Steph: A lot of what Steph has done isn't as obvious to the region but it is to NDSU. Was a new NCC at NACURH, and now she prepared a delegation for MACURH, and NDSU presented programs. NDSU had a voice at MACURH in complete part due to Steph and her enthusiasm. She's helped NDSU step up to the next level. She's enthusiastic and was the drive behind NDSU submitting bids.

Mo State: Steph has been an amazing NCC. UMR has been very involved on regional level. Headed legislation committee, hosted pre-MACURH conference. He's created leadership opportunities for people within his school and regional/national level

St Thomas: Alex: We all know him and he's very vocal. Leadership- It's a running joke to groan when he raised his placard or pulls out Robert's rules of order, but that's probably one of the best ways to be a leader. We tease him about it, but deep down we're glad that he does. The bid alludes to his passion, which is exemplified through his utilization of parli pro, motivation of delegations, bid submissions, and legislation.

Mayville: Yield

St Thomas: move the question

2nd by Mo State

Motion carries

Vote: Alex Dempsey, Stephanie Caron, or abstain

Mike turns it over to Amanda

Amanda: accept a motion to bring legislation to the floor.

UNK: move to bring Green legislation to the floor.

Amanda: I'm not going to accept that because we need to go to the auditorium so we can pass the budget.

UNK; move to groove downstairs

2nd by Truman.

Back to order at 5:56pm in Auditorium

Roll call

UNK: motion to bring budget to the floor

2nd UMTC

Amanda: I'll explain the budget AAFN is slightly different. Director recognition is taken back to what it was. Postage and printing: we eliminated pretty much all of it because it wasn't being used. The \$150 total RBD amt is still larger than what has been spent the last 3 years. The NRHH social- we never had it and never spend the money. Regional social at NACURH- we haven't spent money purchasing for it, so we didn't need the money. An issue that can be up for discussion is if people want regional t-shirts at NACURH. Regional pins were an item last year. We still have them so we don't need more. NRHH apparel is for NRHH cow pins. MACURH conf travel was increased because the board will be traveling further. RCC travel is a new line item so RCCs have funding to visit schools in their sub-region. They have to go through me to get that funding and it needs to be approved. AD-Programming line is for the ADP to go to host site for MACURH and help them select programs to be presented. We spoke with the MACURH 06 conference chair and they are very excited about this. For retreats we increased that because the pre-NACURH retreat will have more people attending due to the snow-white legislation. NACURH reg. went up because of the higher registration costs and more people going. We discussed not financing the conference chairs to go to NACURH because they don't have specific responsibilities. In passing the snow white legislation, that was eliminated

Questions:

UMR: You mentioned the costs for NACURH were up because of snow-white legislation, but that doesn't affect NACURH 2006.

Amanda: I can adjust that.

UNK: With the passing of the status levels this mooring, the idea was to bring up a fund to get certificates. Is there a way we can put \$5-10 into a fund so we can buy those?

Amanda: Yes. I'm changing the regional award line to incorporate that.

Mankato: Can you go back to NACURH line item? I know it wasn't impacted by legislation, but is there anyway to keep at previous figure, or did you just take out the elects for next year?

Amanda: I just took out the two elects. The only change is that conf chairs would not be getting \$250 like last year.

Mo State: Do we have to add t-shirts for NACURH now?

Amanda: We can add it. Yes.. we need to decide it now.

UNK: So with the t-shirts, it doesn't matter if it goes into the budget now?

Point of information: Veggie: IF we pay for the t-shirts, why does the line item have to exist?

Amanda: Because the region pays for them and then you pay back (as income). It exists now.

Mankato: Point of information: NACURH 2005 when we did have a regional social, was that paid for by the school.

Lacey: we didn't have food at that..it was in the POY room.

Discussion

James: Point of clarification- we're changing titles so they match the Chart of Accounts for NACURH policy.

Amanda: we got our lines correct

Wash U: If we wanted to put in an allowance for certificates.

Amanda: its already there.

USD: yield

Point of order: Kevin- you need to move it to the floor before you discuss it!

UMR; move budget to the floor, 2nd by KSU

Mo State: motion to approve, 2nd by Pitt State.

Vote: Budget passes.

Kevin: we can choose to plow through and finish, or temporarily recess and change and come back after dinner.

POI: Rolla: How much is left?

One piece of legislation

SDSU: DO we have to pass minutes?

Kevin: No

UMR; move to groove upstairs

2nd by Pitt State

Reconvened at 6:20

Roll call

UMR: motion to bring green to floor

2nd by GrandView

UMR: purpose is to restrict No Frills cost, specifically: delegate fees. Why? Last no frills, a lot of people were afraid because of how expensive it was projected to be. As much as I love having schools bidding, I love people actually being there more. If people don't come, there's no conference. If we restrict it so it's not over bearing, schools can come. What this means is that the final delegate fee could not be more than \$75. That means this conference is within legislation. It was originally bid at more than \$75, but the final is under \$75. That is all this legislation requires. The reason for the difference is because a lot of people can only get sponsors after it is approved. This allows that to still work. As a final add-on, this is legislation that is important to not be rushed. Do not make a decision hastily. If you want to discuss it after banquet, table it until afterwards, and I will support you.

Q&A:

Truman: What happens if there's only one bid and the price can't be lowered?

UMR: The issue that arises that is that if approved by the NCCs the conference could not charge more than \$75. It could cause a deficit.

Kevin: First \$500 is schools' responsibility, then split 50/50

KU: Shouldn't we take into consideration Inflation and rising costs?

UMR: We could simply add that every year the # is increased by the inflation rate or a given percentage. Like 4%

CU: yield

Mizzou: By some twist of fate we approve a conference projected to be lower than \$75 and the price unexpectedly goes up. What happens then?

UMR: They can't charge more than \$75.

POC: Mo West: When would this go into affect?

UMR: As soon as it is passed.

Mo West: Given that, the current bids, we don't know which school got it. If their bid was over \$75 already, they'd be in violation of policy:

UMR: Yes

SDSU: So if a school can't get it under and schools have to split the costs, that sounds like a good idea to you?

UMR: I'd hope they don't go over. This should set precedence for low costs.

St Thomas; yield

Wash U: You mentioned if the price goes down that's okay. Does this say at time of bid the price per delegate has to be \$75?

UMR: No. Just the actual fee that is charged. So technically, all bids are able to be bid on.

Pitt State: yield

KSU: This is just for delegates, right? Did you take into consideration for advisors?

UMR: Yes for delegates. No, we didn't think about advisor costs. We shouldn't mandate that because we don't have the experience.

KSU: Could a conference team put the extra money into the advisor costs?

UMR: Yes they could. Which is my opinion, doesn't come out of my budget.

KU: Can you clarify? Would this prevent a school from having a late penalty if a school's fee plus late penalty was over \$75?

UMR: I'll fix that.

Mo State: yield

SDSU: So you'd be acceptable to saying every delegate pays \$6 less but advisors have to pay \$50 more each?

UMR: No. I don't consider that acceptable. It would be allowable. In theory it could be.

Amanda: They are required to submit a budget to ADFR and the cost is set with ADFR, Advisor, and Director.

NWMSU: Yield

Wash U: move to close Q&A

2nd by Mankato

Motion passes

Discussion

UNK: friendly amend to read, "Shall not exceed \$75 per person before late conference fees"

2nd by UMR, accepted

Point of info: could conferences set really high late fees?

Amanda: Yes. But the late fee can't change after the budget is set.

Wash U: Yes they can charge more for advisors and set high late fees, but since they have to consult with the RBD, I don't think they are incompetent or shady to approve that. In the unlikely event one bid is presented and the cost is below \$75 and then goes up, we can trust the RBD to get it down. I think we waste time talking about hypotheticals because there are safeguards to present it.

KSU: I like the intent of the legislation, but I don't see how potentially just shifting like \$5 from the delegate fee to advisor fee will change anything? Maybe we should move it the next conference?

Mo State: Does this registration affect t-shirts and conference extras?

UMR: That's not listed as delegate fee, so no

Mo State: I think the intention is good b/c as we've seen there were two bids under the limit and the other two could have been lowered with sponsorship. It's not unreasonable. We've had it clarified by the RBD that the conference team can't do something shady like rearrange funds. We set back money every year to cover deficits. If we have to use it every 5 years, that's okay.

Point of clarification: we don't specifically set aside money for deficits

NWMSU: I think this is a good intent, but there's not enough information. We do pay advisor fees out of our budget. I think we should refer this to the legislation committee to do more research on the advisor fees.

SDSU: yield

Iowa: I do not support this. 1) Discourages people from bidding. It takes a long time to research all the costs and then work to get it down. 2) If a team enters a bid with a high cost, they know they don't have a good chance of getting it approved. 3) What is no one can come up with a bid that is \$75 or less. Then we have to find someone to do a bid all over again. When it comes to advisors, if you're going to change their costs, you can see that during the presentation and then not approve it.

KU: I agree with Iowa. It's nice to think of a limit, but its rather unnecessary and we're the ones that decide the hosts and the costs. If we have to pick a high option, its not always bad because they can always lower the costs. I don't want to see schools get discouraged because they don't think they can get down to a lower cost.

UMR: Yes we are the ones that decide on the conference. We use extremes to prove points. If there was a \$200 conference, and one person voted yes, we'd have to have that conference, and if nothing lowers, we're paying \$200 a person to go to No Frills. What is they wouldn't have gotten sponsorship in the last year. We though we'd be spending \$100 something for No Frills and then have less money for NACURH. As long as a school really wants to host it and their NCC agrees, they'd get it. This would restrict that. Maybe you want to raise it to something higher. This is my specific opinion and I believe it is legitimate. As for the advisor, I don't think that debate is relevant because this legislation has nothing to do with the advisor. I think I was misunderstood. This bid makes no reference to advisors. I'm not saying we should transfer it to other line items. I've had that discussion before with my advisor and I thought it was entertaining. The advisors are in no part a part of this legislation.

Amanda as it is 6:45; we'll entertain a motion to table

Truman: Motion to table until after the banquet

2nd by Drake

Vote: motion passes

Rolla: motion to recess until after banquet

2nd by UNK

Recess at 6:46

Called back at 9:11pm

“I don’t officially have the gavel, but I’m still gonna bang it.” –Mike
Michael: I’m not going to be here because I’m going to go help transition the No Frills bid team. Amanda will stay here and finish. If you have RBD evals and NIC stuff, put them in the envelope.

Roll Call

MMGreen is on the table

UMR: I most respectfully and honorably withdraw the green legislation.

UNK; motion to bring MACURH 2005 minutes from the floor

2nd by Truman

Amanda: Any corrections?

UMR: move to approve

2nd by UNK

Minutes approved

Short RBD reports

ADCD: I had a power point, but its okay. Big project is applying for a leadership grant, which would be a \$100,000 grant, which would be broken into a RHA building scholarship and RHA Help Scholarship. We were going to try through the Busch Foundation, but they don’t do group scholarships. Working on community service week. First president’s chat at 10 Thursday Feb 16th. IM Andrew sometime this week. Starting a case study committee. Mini-MACURHs for schools who host retreat. If you host a retreat, we will put on some leadership programs for your school. This would start at Pre-NACURH. I’ve been doing the MACURH Messenger and hope to keep the spotlight going.

Lacey: I’m taking Flash and Photoshop classes starting this week. Working on standardized conference registration that would be housed on MACURH website. Like I said, we ran out of space on the website, so we are looking into moving it back to being hosted by the NIC b/c we will have way more room for that and our registration database. Also redesigning website, but its taking a little bit longer.

Amanda: I’m working with Trisha on several projects, like Passive programming. The best explanation I can think of would be that its like a science fair display. Instead of school displays, we’d have passive programming during lunch with the different displays. I’ve been working on the budget and other pieces of legislation you saw. We created RBD committees at retreat, so working on that. I’ll put a thread on the forum tonight about conference budgets so you can talk about that. Working on National committees.

KDD: Final Report ☺ The MACURH Advisor files. I received 11 boxes and one travel file. Now, I am turning over 3 boxes and 1 travel box and lots are going to the NACURH archives. Mileage 17.734- closest 17428 was Tony from St. Thomas. Though I don’t really advocate keeping things from your advisors, the lavender legislation was a big surprise.

Wash u: motion to adjourn until next we meet at NACURH 06?

Amanda: denied for now. If you need a plaque from MACURH 05, come up and get it afterwards.

Kevin: while she looks, if you have any bids you are not taking home, we'll arrange for recycling if you leave your bids on the table.

Amanda: Turn in your placards.

Kevin: please clean up your area

KU: Motion to adjourn

2nd by Rolla

Adjourned at 9:28pm.